

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/709,329	07/15/2004	Earl Rotman	20107/1200838-US1	3328		
7278 75	90 05/26/2005		EXAMINER			
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY. 10150-5257			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, NGA B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3628	3628		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · <del>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>								
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/709,329		ROTMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Nga B. Nguyen		3628				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the Reply	pears on the cover	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	)SS			
THE MA - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaction for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3 De</u>	ecember 2004						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawalaim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-74 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/order.	wn from consider						
Application	n Papers							
10)□ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the ep	cepted or b) obj drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
11)	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been rece ts have been rece rity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receiven (a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s	)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•	2)			

Art Unit: 3628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on December 3, 2004, which paper has been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 1-74 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments/Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-74 have been considered but are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 5. Claims 1-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, particularly, an abstract idea.

The claims, as presently claimed and best understood were reconsidered in light of the "Examination Guidelines for Computer-Related Inventions" and were found to be non-statutory. Discussion of the analysis of the claims under the guidelines follows.

As to claims 1-18, 30-49, and 61-62, the claimed invention is implemented as Non-Functional Descriptive Material *Per Se*. "An article suitable for trade as a unit" is considered a non-functional descriptive material. Where certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, are merely stored so as to be read or outputted by a

Application/Control Number: 10/709,329

Art Unit: 3628

computer without creating any functional interrelationship, either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer, then such descriptive material alone does not impart functionality either to the data as so structured, or to the computer. Such "descriptive material" is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. (Data consists of facts, which become information when they are seen in context and convey meaning to people. Computers process data without any understanding of what that data represents. Computer Dictionary 210 (Microsoft Press, 2d ed. 1994).)

As to claims 19-29, 50-60, and 63-74, the claimed invention is not implemented on a specific apparatus, therefore, the invention is not directed to the technological arts. To be statutory, the utility of an invention must be within the technological arts. The definition of "technology" is the "application of science and engineering to the development of machines and procedures in order to enhance or improve human conditions, or at least to improve human efficiency in some respect." (Computer Dictionary 384 (Microsoft Press, 2d ed. 1994)). When one looks to the present specification to determine what the applicant has invented, the invention appears not to be implemented on any specific apparatus. It is clear that the claimed invention are intended to be directed to the abstract method apart from the apparatus for performing the method.

Therefore, claims 1-74 are non-statutory, because they are directed solely to an abstract idea without practical application in the technological arts.

Art Unit: 3628

### Conclusion

- 6. Claims 1-74 are rejected.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on (703) 308-0505.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(703) 308-3691 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 3628

Page 5

Nga B. Nguyen

March 3, 2005